1

2

# STATE OF ARIZONA

DEC 2 4 1997

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

**ORDER** 

Docket No. 97A-158-INS

DEPT. OF INSURANCE BY ED

3

In the Matter of:

PEOPLE OF FAITH,

Petitioner.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

23

On November 24, 1997, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal, submitted Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge ("Recommended Decision"), a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. The Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance has reviewed the Recommended Decision and enters the following order:

- 1. The recommended findings of fact and conclusions of law are adopted.
- 2. The Department's \$7,200.00 penalty assessment against the Petitioner is upheld.

# NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Office of Administrative Hearings within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 20-166. A party filing an appeal must notify the Office of

1	Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing the complaint commencing the appeal,
2	pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.10.
3	DATED this 24 day of December, 1997
4	
5	Johna Quene
6	John A. Greene Director of Insurance
7	A copy of the foregoing mailed this 24th day of December, 1997
8	
9	Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris, Executive Assistant Director
10	Catherine O'Neil, Assistant Director Gary A. Torticill, Assistant Director Kelly Start
11	Kelly Stephens, Deputy Assistant Director Arizona Department of Insurance
12	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018
13	Office of Administrative Hearings 1700 W. Washington, Suite 602
14	Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Shelby L. Cuevas Assistant Attorney General
16	1275 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007
17	
18	Clarke H. Greger Ryley, Carlock & Applewhite
19	101 N. First Avenue, Suite 2700 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1973
20	
21	Esther Caris
22	
23	

In the Matter of:

PEOPLE OF FAITH,

7

10

13

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

No. 97A-158-INS

RECOMMENDED DECISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

**HEARING:** November 4, 1997

Petitioner.

APPEARANCES: Clarke H. Greger, Esq. on behalf of the Petitioner; Assistant Attorney Shelby L. Cuevas on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

Based on the entire record, the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommended Order are made:

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On August 26, 1997, the Arizona Department of Insurance (the "Department") issued to People of Faith dba Royal Oaks("Petitioner") a notice of assessment in the sum of \$7,200.00 for delinquent filing of Financial and Income Statement pursuant to A.R.S. §20-1807(E).
- 2. On September 5, 1997, Petitioner timely filed with the Department a notice of appeal of the Department's penalty assessment of August 26, 1997.
- 3. It is undisputed that Petitioner is a life care provider subject to the reporting requirements of A.R.S. §§20-1802(B)(15) and 20-1807.
- 4. Petitioner's fiscal year ends on February 28. On March 22, 1997, Petitioner submitted its annual report to the Department for the year ending 1996 without a certified financial statement for the year ending 1996. On August 25, 1997, Petitioner filed with the Department its certified financial statement for the year ending 1996. Petitioner asserts that it was not possible for Petitioner to submit a certified financial

statement for the year ending 1996 to the Department by March 31, 1997. Further, Petitioner contends that in the past it has never submitted an audited financial report to the Department by March 31.

- 5. Petitioner maintains that because it has not had to pay any assessment for late filings made to the Department in prior years, the Department should not deviate from that pattern of conduct and should waive the \$7,200.00 penalty.
- 6. Kelly Stephens, Deputy Assistant Director of the Corporate and Financial Division of the Department, testified that Petitioner's Annual Report was filed with the Department on March 25, 1997. As one of her normal duties, Ms. Stephen's duties in the capacity of Deputy Assistant Director, she reviews annual reports of life care providers. During the process of inventorying Petitioner's annual report for the year ending 1996, Ms. Stephens determined that the filing was incomplete as Petitioner's filing did not include a certified financial statement for the year ending 1996. Although Petitioner did not file such a document with the Department by March 31, 1997, Petitioner did include a copy of a certified financial statement for the year ending 1995.
- 7. Ms. Stephens testified that in the documents the Department sent out to life care providers for their 1996 annual report filing, the Department included an insert to clarify the financial statement filing required to be filed with the annual report. That insert provides that pursuant to A.R.S. §20-1807(C)(3), certified financial statements must be filed with the annual report by March 31 for the two most recent fiscal years. In bold print on the bottom of the insert, it states "FAILURE TO COMPLY WILL RESULT IN CIVIL PENALTY OF \$50 PER DAY".
- 8. According to Ms. Stephens, previously the Department assessed a penalty against Petitioner for the late filing of the 1995 annual report but did not take any further action with respect to that assessment after it was challenged by the Petitioner.
- 9. On March 4, 1997, the Department sent the revised instructions to the Respondent. On March 5, 1997, the Department sent other authorized life care providers the revised instructions. Those instructions affected only those life care providers whose fiscal year ends on March 31.

10. According to Ms. Stephens, the Department sent out revised instructions to clarify the obligation for filing certified financial statements for life care providers whose fiscal year ends on March 31. While Petitioner contends that the Department made an exception to the filing requirements for those life care providers, the evidence shows that the Department issued revised instructions based on its interpretation of A.R.S. §§20-1802 and 20-1807 concerning the reporting obligations for certain life care providers. The evidence established that the Department did not waive or exempt such life care providers from the financial reporting requirements. Therefore, the evidence does not support Petitioner's contention.

- 11. Petitioner asserts that Lee Books, an independent contractor for the Department, who deals specifically with lifecare providers, provided an alternative approach as a possible solution to Petitioner's inability to file a certified financial statement for its most recent year. However, that issue is not within the scope of this hearing as the evidence established that Petitioner did not rely on Mr. Books' suggestion in its late filing of the certified financial statement for the year ending 1996. Mr. Books' suggestion was not even forwarded to Petitioner until after the March 31 deadline. By that time, Petitioner had already filed with the Department its certified financial statement for the year ending 1996.
- 12. Any and all other assertions made by the Petitioner as to why it should not have to pay the above-mentioned penalty assessment were either not supported by the weight of the evidence or are found to be without merit.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Although Petitioner wants the Department to waive the \$7,200.00 civil penalty assessed against Petitioner, the language in A.R.S. §20-1807(E) is mandatory not discretionary. Therefore, the Department does not have the authority to waive a civil penalty assessed pursuant to that statute.

The evidence of record supports the Department's penalty assessment against the Petitioner in the sum of \$7,200.00 for the late filing of the certified financial statement for the year ending 1996 pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-1802 and 20-1807.

#### **RECOMMENDED ORDER**

Based on the above, the Administrative Law Judge recommends that the Department's \$7,200.00 penalty assessment against Petitioner be upheld.

Done this day, November 24, 1997.

Lewis D. Kowal

Administrative Law Judge

Original transmitted by mail this dy day of November , 1997, to:

Mr. John A. Greene, Director Department of Insurance 2910 North 44th Street, #210 ATTN: Curvey Burton Phoenix, AZ 85018-7256

By histigut kret thomison